

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Richez Markivious Bowser, #293406,	)	C/A No.: 0:19-503-MGL-SVH
	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Detective Walter Beck, Moss Justice Center,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights by Defendant. On August 29, 2019, Defendant Beck (“Defendant”)<sup>1</sup> filed a motion for summary judgment. [ECF No. 32]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of the motion and of the need for him to file an adequate response by September 30, 2019. [ECF No. 33]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court’s *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to Defendant’s motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned has recommended the district judge summarily dismiss Moss Justice Center and service has not been authorized.

the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the motion for summary judgment by October 17, 2019. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, the undersigned will recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 3, 2019  
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges  
United States Magistrate Judge